Hydrolytic decomposition of PFCs over AlPO₄-Al₂O₃ catalyst[†]

Jong Yeol Jeon, Xiu-Feng Xu, Mi Hwa Choi, Hee Young Kim and Yong-Ki Park*

Division of Chemical Technology, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, P.O. Box 107, Yuseong, Daejeon 305-600, Korea. E-mail: ykpark@krict.re.kr; Fax: +82-042-860-7590; Tel: +82-042-860-7672

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 21st February 2003, Accepted 3rd April 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd April 2003

 $AIPO_4-Al_2O_3$ (Al/P > 9), prepared by impregnating (NH₄)₂HPO₄ on Boehmite, showed high catalytic activity and durability for the hydrolytic decomposition of perfluoro compounds even in the presence of water and HF.

Perfluoro compounds (PFCs) such as CF_4 , C_2F_6 , C_3F_8 , C_4F_8 , NF_3 , *etc.*, which are used as main cleaning and etching agents in the semiconductor process, are some representative greenhouse gases. They have extremely long atmospheric lifetimes and high global-warming potential (GWP). For example, CF_4 , one representative of PFCs, has a lifetime of 50000 years and a GWP 6500 times higher than that of CO_2 .^{1–3} Therefore, the regulations for the PFCs have become more and more strict. Incineration and plasma have been considered as promising methods for the abatement of PFCs⁴ but the high incineration temperature (>1000 °C) and the lack of durability of plasma system and by-product formation have limited their commercial applications. Therefore, catalytic decomposition at low temperature has been suggested as a more practical alternative for the abatement of PFCs.^{5–8}

As shown in equations (1) and (2), for the catalytic decomposition of PFCs, the hydrolysis by water is more effective than the oxidative decomposition by oxygen at temperatures below 750 $^{\circ}$ C.

CF₄ + 2H₂O → CO₂ + 4HF (
$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -150 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
) (1)
CF₄ + O₂ → CO₂+ 2F₂ ($\Delta G^{\circ} = +494 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) (2)

However, hydrolysis conditions are never benign to the catalyst because the catalyst always has to be exposed to the highly reactive HF and water at a temperature higher than 600 $^{\circ}$ C. Therefore, in the catalytic hydrolysis, it is most important to make a catalyst resistant to HF and water without loss of its catalytic activity.

In this study, it was found that AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ (Al/P > 9 mol/ mol) was highly durable and effective for the catalytic decomposition of CF₄, C₄F₈ and NF₃ even in these severe reaction conditions. AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ was prepared simply by impregnating phosphate such as (NH₄)₂HPO₄ and H₃PO₄ on Boehmite (Catapal B, Condea Vista Co.), followed by drying at 100 °C for 5 h and calcining at 700 °C for 10 h. The hydrolysis of PFCs was carried out in a $\frac{3}{4}$ inch OD Inconel tube, and the products were analyzed with a GC equipped with a Porapak Q column.

Fig. 1 shows activity patterns of the AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ catalyst for hydrolytic decomposition of various types of PFCs such as CF₄, C₄F₈ and NF₃. The PFCs bearing nitrogen are less stable than those having carbon and could be decomposed at lower temperatures. For the decomposition of NF₃, 400 °C is enough but at least 650 °C is required for the complete decomposition of CF₄ and C₄F₈.

Selective hydrolysis is important in catalytic decomposition of PFCs because, if harmful by-products such as CO and NOx are formed, they have to be converted into safe compounds through a secondary treatment. Fortunately, during the hydroly-

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: effect of oxygen on the conversion of CF₄, FTIR spectra, and SEM images. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b302064a/ sis of CF₄ over AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ at 700 °C, only CO₂ and HF were formed according to the equation (1) without any other byproduct. To see how much the activity is influenced by the concentration of water and oxygen, CF₄ decomposition was carried out while changing their concentrations. As expected, decomposition activity was only influenced by the concentration of water without any effect by O₂; the activity decreased as the water concentration in the feed decreased and it showed almost zero activity in the absence of water. This means that the decomposition of CF₄into CO₂ and HF occurred totally by hydrolysis.

In general, CF₄ exhausted in semiconductor processes does not exceed 5000 ppmv, which can be hydrolysed into CO₂ and HF without any by-product such as CO and NOx at temperatures lower than 700 °C (Fig. 1). To test the AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ catalyst in a more severe environment, the hydrolysis was carried out at 12000 ppmv of CF₄ and 750 °C. Shown in Fig. 2, the pure γ -alumina, although having a high initial hydrolysis activity, was easily deactivated. Only 40% conversion was obtained after 2 days of reaction due to the transformation of the γ -phase of alumina to a dense α -phase by the HF produced in



Fig. 1 Conversions of $CF_4(\bigoplus)$, $C_4F_8(\bigoplus)$ and $NF_3(\blacktriangle)$ over $AlPO_4-Al_2O_3$ (Al/P = 39) as a function of temperature (Catalyst = 5 g, PFCs = 1.1 ml min⁻¹, $O_2 = 2.9$ ml min⁻¹, He = 87 ml min⁻¹ and water = 0.04 ml min⁻¹, GHSV = 2 000 h⁻¹).



Fig. 2 CF₄ conversions depending on time on stream over AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ (\bullet , Al/P = 39) and γ -alumina (\blacktriangle) (T = 750 °C, catalyst = 5 g, PFCs = 1.1 ml min⁻¹, O₂ = 2.9 ml min⁻¹, He = 87 ml min⁻¹ and water = 0.04 ml min⁻¹, GHSV = 2 000 h⁻¹).

the reaction and water vapor, as will be mentioned later. However, the AlPO₄–Al₂O₃, γ -alumina modified with 2.5 mol% of phosphate, showed a big improvement in durability and 100% conversion of CF₄ was obtained without any deactivation even after 15 days of operation.

Previously, Hitachi Co. reported enhanced decomposition activity of CF₄ over the alumina catalyst modified with Zn or Ni, but the catalyst durability was not guaranteed.9 AlPO₄-Al₂O₃ compares well and assures both activity and durability. This outstanding result was mainly caused by the phosphate added as a promoter to stabilize the γ -phase of alumina which is catalytically active for hydrolysis. In an atmospheric environment, the γ -phase of alumina is normally stable even at 800 °C without phase transformation into a more stable α -phase. However, in the HF and water vapor environment it is transformed quickly into a dense α -phase which is inactive catalytically. These phenomena could be seen clearly from the result of XRD and BET surface area of fresh and used catalysts (Fig. 3). In the case of pure $\gamma\text{-alumina},$ a considerable amount of α -phase was formed with a big decrease in surface area within two days; surface area reduced from 198 to 6 m^2 g⁻¹ after the reaction. On the contrary, the AlPO₄-Al₂O₃ modified with phosphate showed negligible phase transformation and a relatively lower change in surface area from 132 to 81 m² g⁻¹ after 15 days of operation. In the catalytic dehydration of methanol over AlPO₄-Al₂O₃, Abbattista et al.¹⁰ found that the phosphate prevented the transformation of γ -phase into α phase, which is in good agreement with our XRD result. The phosphate played an important role in protecting the γ -phase of alumina even in the presence of HF and water vapor. The phosphate existed as an amorphous phase because no XRD peaks corresponding to the crystalline AlPO₄ were observed.

The AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ catalysts having 6 different Al/P mol ratios of 8, 66, 56, 49, 39 and 24 were tested at 650 °C and initial conversions[‡] of 82, 74, 71, 70, 69 and 48% CF₄ were obtained, respectively, The pure γ -alumina showed the highest activity and, as the content of P increased, the CF₄ conversion decreased monotonically. This means that only the γ -phase of alumina is effective for the hydrolysis and the added P just plays a role in stabilizing the γ -phase of alumina.

The change in the amount of acid site of fresh and used catalysts was monitored by the pyridine adsorption using an *in situ* FTIR cell, and then correlated with the decomposition activity. The fresh γ -alumina showed the largest number of Lewis acid sites while negligible acid sites were found over the used catalyst. In comparison with the γ -alumina, the AlPO₄-Al₂O₃(Al/P = 39) showed fewer Lewis acid sites but it still had considerable acid sites even after a long time of hydrolysis. This means that the hydrolysis activity is closely related with the



Fig. 3 XRD patterns of γ -alumina and AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ before and after the hydrolysis of CF₄ at 750 °C for 45 hours.

Lewis acid site of the catalyst as suggested in the previous results. $^{11-13}\,$

Besides γ -alumina, many other solid oxides with acid sites could be used as a catalyst for hydrolysis. However, most of them are not resistant to the HF at high temperatures and are transformed into metal fluoride or oxyfluoride which is volatile and catalytically inactive. In CFCs decomposition, the oxide catalysts also suffered from the phase transformation into fluoride.^{11,12,15} Therefore, the catalysts were modified so as to avoid the formation of fluoride which is inactive for hydrolysis. Fortunately, according to the results of XRD and energy dispersive X-ray analysis, no such fluoride compound was detected in the AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ even after a long exposure to the reaction. The absence of fluoride accumulation could be explained by the enhanced reverse reaction of equation (3) due

$$Al_2O_3 + 6HF \leftrightarrow 2AlF_3 + 3H_2O \tag{3}$$

to the excess H₂O present in the reactant. Myasnikov and Bogachev¹⁶ have reported that the activation energy of the reverse reaction of equation (3) is 89 kJ mol⁻¹ and its rate is proportional to $\frac{3}{2}$ order of partial pressure of water.

In summary, CF₄ could be decomposed effectively into CO₂ and HF without by-products through hydrolysis over γ -alumina that has Lewis acid sites. However, due to the lack of phase stability, modification with a promoter is required. The impregnation of phosphate provided one of the most efficient ways for stabilising the γ -phase of alumina and its catalytic activity was maintained for more than 15 days without deactivation even in the presence of HF. The biggest advantage of the AlPO₄–Al₂O₃ catalyst is that it can be prepared conveniently and cheaply. We are now carrying out a largescale durability test to expand its potential as a commercial PFCs abatement.

The research was supported by Korea Ministry of Environment and The Centre for Ultramicrochemical Process Systems sponsored by KOSEF.

Notes and references

‡ This conversion was measured after 1 hour of the reaction.

- 1 S. Arulmozhiraja and T. Fujii, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 9613.
- 2 A. R. Ravishankara, S. Soloman, A. A. Turnipseed and R. F. Warren, *Science*, 1993, **259**, 194.
- 3 V. Ramanthan, L. Callis, R. Cess, J. Hansen, I. Isaksen, W. Kuhn, A. Lacis, F. Luther, J. Mahlman, R. Reck and M. Schlesinger, *Rev. Geophys.*, 1987, 25, 1441.
- 4 http://www.dupont.com/zyron/techinfo/status/status3.html.
- 5 R. S. Brown, J. A. Rossin and K. Aitchison, *Solid State Technol.*, 2001, 44, 189.
- 6 R. S. Brown, J. A. Rossin and C. J. Thomas, *Semicond. Int.*, 2001, 24, 209.
- 7 B. Ashish, K. Tony, W. Manus, C. Mike, R. Kartik, M. Mehran, K. Sam and S. Sam., Proc.-Electrochem. Soc. 99-98(Environmental Issues in the Electronics and Semiconductor Industries), 1999, 95.
- 8 K. Shuichi, I. Shinichi, Y. Hisao, T. Shin and K. Hideaki, Prepr. Ext. Abstr. ACS Natl. Meet., Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Environ. Chem., 2000, 40, 384.
- 9 Hitachi Ltd., Jap. Pat., 2001, 224926.
- 10 F. Abbattista, A. Delmastro, G. Gozzelino, D. Mazza, M. Vallino, G. Busca and V. Lorenzelli, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1990, 86, 3653.
- 11 W. B. Feaver and J. A. Rossin, Catal. Today, 1999, 54, 13.
- 12 A. Hess and E. Kemnitz, Catal. Lett., 1997, 49, 199.
- 13 C. F. Ng, S. C. Shan and S. Y. Lai, Appl. Catal. B, 1998, 16, 209.
- 14 W. Hua, F. Zhang, Z. Ma, Y. Tang and Z. Gao, Catal. Lett., 2000, 65, 85.
- 15 S. Karmakar and H. L. Greene, J. Catal., 1995, 151, 394.
- 16 Y. G. Myasnikov and G. N. Bogachev, Tr. Ural. Nauchno Issled. Khim. Inst., 1968, 17, 27.